Previous references.

~~191~~ 1901

( )

File No. 26 of 99-1903

Serial Nos. 1 – 13.

Later references.

S. R 158 of 05.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 13

Mysore

~~Part~~ B. for August 1901.

SUBJECT

Remission Statement in favour of Devasikhamani Moodeliar, Licencee of Separate Shops nos 16 and 17. Kolar Gold fields for 1898–99.

SL 1. From the Excise Commr in Mysore.

No 6238/397 dt. 19th May 1900.

Recommends a compensation ~~of~~ for Rs 817-6-4, in favour of Devasikhamani Moodeliar Licencee of Separate Arrack shops nos 16 and 17 at Masikam, Kolar Gold fields for 1898-99 on the ground that the licencee suffered loss owing to the demolition of a number of houses on account of Plague.

G. R. S. 58

GPB—4,000—4-7-11

SL 2

SL 2. To the Excise Comm^r, No $\frac{2743}{Ex\ 310}$ dt. 29th mayt

Requests Submission of the entire record
Subject including the order under which
Hamlet in question was demolished, besides
information on (1) what the Population of the
hamlet was, (2) the No of people resorting
Shops and the average Consumption, (3)
became of these people when the Hamlet
molished and to what other Shops did the
for their drink.

SL 3. From the Excise Comm^r No $\frac{1991}{99}$ dt 25th Sep

Submits Copy of Correspondence and
information Called for in the preceding

SL 4. From C. Devasikhamani Moodliar
Licencee of Arrack Shops Nos 16
Gold fields Kolar Dis

Petn Tin Registered No 566 dt. 22-9-
Submits petition stating reasons for the l
hes he Sustained in his Contract for the
Shops No 16-17. and prays for Compensation

SL 5. To the Excise Comm^r No $\frac{2948}{Ex.7.10\,98}$ dt. 9-10-

Forwards the above petition Calling for
further Remarks as he may wish to m
in the matter.

SL 6. To the Excise Comm^r No $\frac{2949}{Ex.7.10\,98}$ dt 9-10-

Requests the Submission of Docket No 730 dt-14
from the Plague Officer, and which was m
in his letter No $\frac{1991}{99}$ dt. 25th Sep 1900, but was not
in this office.

SL 7. From the Excise Comm^r No $\frac{2716}{142}$ dt. $\frac{13}{16}$ Nov. 1

Forwards the Copy of Comments from the P
Officer, Doddampet Taluq, Kolar Distt on Col J forwa

S. R. 26 of 99

Note

S. R 26 of 99-900

Devasekhamani Moodeliar, licensee of arrack shop nos 16 & 17, Kolar Gold Fields, for the years 1898-99 claimed a heavy compensation on the ground that he sustained loss owing to the demarcation, under the plague rules, of the Sorakpet & other hamlets the inhabitants of which used to resort to his shops for arrack. After making the necessary enquiry into the matter of the alleged loss, the Excise Comm in his letter no 6238/397 of 19th may 1900, recommended that a compensation of Rs 817-6-4 might be sanctioned and ordered to be refunde to the licensee on the score of his having suffered loss to that extent. While the matter was under correspondence between this office and the

(X) in May & June 1899 owing to the Bubonic plague caused by the demarcation of the Korakapatti Village about the end of April 1899.

Excise Commr. with regard
to some additional information
the licensee submitted a petition
direct to Government praying
for payment of a compensation
of Rs 14,804-5-8 the
amount of loss alleged to have
been sustained by him The
petition was sent to the Excise
Commr. for any further remark
he might have wished to make
and the Excise Commr. in his
letter under disposal states
that the licensee is not entitled
to any more concession than what has already been
recommended in his letter
No. of the 19th May 1900, viz
the refund of Rs 817-6-4 in
as much as that amount has
been rightly calculated by the
Deputy Commr. as the loss
sustained by the licensee for
the two months of May & June 1899
during which the consumption

in the arrack shops was greatly reduced in consequence of the demolition of Sarakpet hamlet. The Excise Commr. also states that the licensee like other purchasers of arrack shops in the Kolar Gold Fields, bought his shops at a considerable loss to himself evidently for speculation and that consequently the heavy loss complained of by the licensee is due to a great extent to his reckless bid, rather than to plague preventive measures, as alleged by him.

The 2nd. Councillor's order dated 23rd December 1900 passed on the Excise Commr's letter under disposal is to the following effect:—

"Disallow the claim"

Orders are solicited as to

(1) whether the claim for compensation should be

Wallipeshwar, disallowed allowed, of Rs

(2) Whether it should be allowed to the extent of Rs 817-6-4 as recommended by the Excise Commissioner.

HS
3/1/01

Sub & Secy
I do not think it was intended to disallow the small compensation of Rs 817 odd. May this be passed?
HR 4/1/01

a request a Circular may be issued to the effect that, in all cases of [illegible] a letter or a telegram

7/1/01

Kindly place this before the Hon'ble Minister in charge

Secretariat
1/1/01

This seems to be an emergency case. The order may be passed on 2nd inst. by me

Secy
2/1/01

Under Rule 27 of the Wallipeshwar Distillery Rules (1) the Collector may open a shop on any day in addition to those specified in the 2nd Schedule by the 1st Schedule. Under Rule 28 (1) the Collector may, with the previous sanction of the Excise Commissioner, close any shop on any day in addition to those specified in the 2nd Schedule by the 1st Schedule. Under Rule 28 (2) the Collector may, with the previous sanction of the Excise Commissioner, close any shop on any day in addition to those specified in the 2nd Schedule by the 1st Schedule.

Rs 2-8-0 1/1/01
673

I think so too. The Excise Commissioner has recommended it. He agent says that in the circumstances that is reasonable. What is meant by the disallowance is the transparent claim advanced by the licensee. Orders may now be passed on the entire correspondence including the Excise Commissioner's recommendation & the licensee's petition.

Resubd 2/1/01

Submitted

The queries put by the Secretary on the Excise Commrs letter no $\frac{6238}{397}$ dated 19th may 1900, recommending a compensation of Rs 817-6-4 in favor of Devasikhamani Moodr. licensee of arrack shop no 16 L 17, have been ~~assessed~~ answered by the Excise Commr ~~as follows~~ in his letters under disposal nos $\frac{1991}{99}$ & $\frac{2220}{107}$ dt 25.9.1900 & 10th 10. 1900 respectively ~~as follows~~

Excise Commissioners answers:

Secretarys queries:—

(1) What was the population of the Sorakpatti hamlet?

enclosure marked # A B.

As per dockets of the Dy. Commr, Kolar, the population of the hamlet was 1500/- and that all of them were labores & belonged to drinking classes.

~~(2)~~ ~~No~~

(2) How many out of these people used to resort to the shops in question & what was their average consumption

Information as to the exact number of those who resorted to the aforesaid shops and their average consumption, is not available. But as it is clear from the Excise Supts report no 4368 dt 14th instant enclosure marked (C) that Madikam village where the shops in question are situated, was the nearest to the demolished Sorakpatti ~~hamlet~~ hamlet, being only $1\frac{3}{4}$ furlongs, ~~was~~ most of the inhabitants of the latter, if not all must have resorted to the said shops. Their average consumption was about 900 gallons a month

(3) What became of those people when the hamlet was demolished, and to what other shop did they resort for their drink.

After the demolition of the hamlet (Soratkapet), about 1000 of its inhabitants are said to have left for British territory and the remainder distributed themselves betwee the Balaghat, Bisanatham and marikuppam Camps, resorting for their drinks to the arrack shops at Chinnapanhalli, Soora palli, Sornakuppam and Doriapur

The order under which the hamlet in question was demolished runs as follows:—
(vide enclosure to Excise Commr's letter no. 2220/07 of 10.10.1907 put up)

"That the people who were living in Soratkapet lines are said to have been accommodated in Champion Reefs mine, and they resort likely to the upper-mastkam arrack shops which is in the vicinity."

"The Soratkpet lines were demolished under the mysore plague Regulations, being unfet for human inhabitation."

The above is a docket

from the plague Officer, Bwlemphel Taluk to the address of the Deputy Commr, Kolar Distt

A petition dated 20th September was received from the license holder of the arrack shops in question examining compensation of Rs 14,804-5-8 on account of loss sustained by him, and the same was forwarded to the Excise Commr for such further remarks as he may wish to make on the question in continuation of his previous references. no reply has yet been received to it-

memo no 2948 dt 7.10-90 9.10.90
put up

KG
15/10/00.

Submitted to Secretary-
15/10/00

S.R. 2607-99 Ex 406

SC 1

Excise]



From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 19th May 1900

Despatched

Received

General No. 6238

No. 39

Enclosure No. 3

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Recommends a Compensation of Rs 817-6-4 in favor of Devasikamany Moodliar, licensee of Separate arrack shops Nos 16 & 17 at Masikam, Kolar Gold Fields for 1898-99 on the ground that the licensee suffered loss owing to the demolition of a hamlet on account of plague.

References
(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Submitted for orders,

K

23/5/00.

K

23/5/00

1) What was the population of the Tamil hamlet

2) How many out of these people used to resort to the shops in question and what was their average consumption?

3) What became of these people when the hamlet was demolished, & what other shops did they resort for their drink?

Call for information on the above points & also for the entire correspondence with the order under which the hamlet in question was demolished or evacuated.

K

Exm 26/5/00

K 26/5

ed 26/5/00

K K 26/5

No. 6238/397 Rem 3 Excise Commissioners Offe
Bangalore dated 19th May 1900

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

In submitting herewith a Compensation Statement for Rs 817-6-4 received from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District, in favor of Devasikamany Moodliar, licensee of Separate arrack shops Nos 16 and 17 at Mackam, Kolar Gold Fields, for the year 1898-99, I have the honor to state that the Sorakapate hamlet the inhabitants of which used to resort to these shop to drink arrack is reported to have been demolished between 25th and 30th April 1899, in consequence of plague having broken out in it, and therefore

arrack

arrack Consumption in the said shops fell off in the months of May and June 1899, as per particulars in the aforesaid Statement For ready reference an extract therefrom is given below:

License No.	Name of shop	Consumption May 1898 Gls	Consumption May 1899 Gls	Consumption June 1898 Gls	Consumption June 1899 Gls	Total decrease for May & June 99 Gallons
16	Maskam	561	312	469	207	511
17	do	361	301	452	358	154
	Total	922	613	921	565	665

The gross profit allowed to the licensee is Rs 1-3-8 per gallon (i.e Rs 6-6-- retail price minus Rs 4-12-- duty + Rs 1-6-4 price = Rs 1-3-8). Therefore the total loss for two months, i.e Gallons 665 x Rs 1-3-8 = Rs 817-6-4, which is the amount of Compensation payable to the licensee in Consequence of the demolition of the above hamlet

2nd). But the licensee of these shops alleges that, in addition to the above hamlet, the following houses from which the Coolies used to resort to his shops, were also destroyed, and other plague measures were strictly enforced

enforced; and that consequently the arrack sales in his shops were greatly affected:—

Locality	No. of houses destroyed
Near Gollahalley	40
Beer shop Line	60
Marikuppam Bazar	200
Karigudisay Line	500
Pallagudisay	260
Melnasikalu	40
Kilnasikalu	30

The Deputy Commissioner however, in his docket No. 128, dated $\frac{21^{st}}{29^{th}}$ December 1899, reports that it is true that these huts also were destroyed, but no loss was incurred on account of them. Although the arrack consumption in the shops suffered also, in the months preceding May 1899, as compared with the previous year as per subjoined details, yet it does not appear to have suffered directly on account of the destruction of huts, as in May and June 1899 owing to the demolition of Soorakapathy hamlet at the latter

part

part of April 1899. As admitted by the licensee himself, none of the above huts were destroyed before May 1899

	Shop No 16		Shop No 17	
	1897-98	1898-99	1897-98	1898-99
	Gls.	Gls	Gls	Gls.
April 1899.	603	565	299	514
March "	673	505	356	461
February "	557	417	355	417
January "	652	401	456	407
December 1898	652	513	463	454
November "	609	457	406	358
October "	607	355	462	361
September "	448	357	412	305
August "	601	303	407	415
July "	342	397	463	347
Total	5744	4269	4079	4039

The Statement B hereto appended, shews that the average consumption for the past three years is 5491 gallons per annum or 457 gallons per month, on shop No 16; and 4886 gallons per annum, or 407 gallons per month, in shop No 17

3 Under these circumstances, I beg to recommend that a Compensation of Rs 817- 6- 4 as per accompanying Statement, may be ordered to be

refunded

refunded to the licensee of the aforesaid shops for the year 1898-99, all the arrears of Khists for 1898-99 having been fully recovered from the licensee.

4. Copy of the Deputy Excise Commissioner's report No. 1691 dated 4/14th March 1901, on the above subject is herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Statement showing the average arrack consumption in Shops Nos 16 and 17, Kolar Gold Fields, for the past three years.

Months	No. 16 shop			No 17 shop			
	1896-97	1897-98	1898-99	1896-97	1897-98	1898-99	
July	516	342	397	509	463	347	
August	405	601	303	412	407	415	
September	403	448	357	462	412	305	
October	458	607	355	413	462	361	
November	405	609	457	366	406	358	
December	504	652	513	356	463	454	
January	356	652	400	361	456	407	
February	354	557	417	356	355	417	
March	353	673	505	405	356	461	
April	403	603	565	410	299	514	
May	352	561	312	463	361	301	
June	403	469	207	555	452	358	
Total	4912	6774	4788	5068	4892	4698	
	Average for the past 3 years is 5491 gallons per annum, or 457 gallons per month			Average for the past 3 years is 4886 gallons per annum, or 407 gallons per month			

Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore dated 19th May 1900

for Excise Commissioner

1899-1900

8

From The Deputy Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To. The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 4/14th March 1900

No. 1691

Sir,

Referring to your letter No. 4328/1161 of the 27th January regarding the enclosed petitions from one Devasikamani Moodliar, Arrack farmer of shops Nos. 16 and 17 of Masikam (Gold Fields) for 1898-99, I have the honor to state that I delayed replying until I could again visit the spot, which I did today to ascertain, if possible the actual date on which the evacuation of the camps mentioned took place. I found, however, it was impossible to do this, as the evacuation seems to have been prolonged over several days and possibly a week or two in some cases; but that evacuation did take place and was complete is a fact, the camps being burnt and levelled with the ground. The movement

apparently

9

apparently commenced at the end of April or beginning of May and continued till well on in July. At present there are only some 3 or 4 huts in Marikoopam, and perhaps 30 or 40 in higher Masikam.

The falling off in the sales evidently coincides with the dates of the evacuation of the lines and was doubtless due to that, and it seems only just that some recognition of the fact should be allowed to the petitioner who has suffered considerable loss. He might certainly have expected at least as good sales as in the previous year, and in ordinary circumstances he would have had them and perhaps something better. The remission suggested by the Kolar District Deputy Commissioner cannot be considered excessive. The people from the evacuated lines are scattered throughout the various camps of the different mines on the Gold Fields.

Enclosed is a written Statement written and signed by the petitioner himself this morning at Masikam with reference to the dates of evacuation.

I have etc.

"Copy"

(sd) W. Vincombe Davy
Deputy Excise Commissioner

Excise Commissioner

S. R. 26/99-900

Sl 2

Draft as per order 10

MYSORE

To

26

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

8443

No Ex. 310

Dated 29th May 1900

29.

His letter no. 6238/397
19th May 1900, recommending a
compensation of Rs 817-6-4 in
favor of one Devasekhamani
Moodr, licensee of separate
arrack shops no. 16 & 17 at
Masekana, Kolar Gold fields
for 1898-99 on the ground that
the licensee ~~suffered~~ suffered
loss owing to the demolition of
a hamlet on account of plage

With reference to the
above has the honor to request
that the Excise Commr will
be so good as to submit the
entire correspondence on the
subject including the order
under which the hamlet
question was demolished

or ~~evacuated~~ evacuated,
besides furnishing information
on the following points:—

What was the population
of the Sorakapatte hamlet?

2 How many out of these people
used to resort to the shops
in question and what was
their average consumption?

3. What became of these
people when the hamlet was
demolished, and to what
other shop did they resort
for their drink?

"B — O"
28/5/00
for Secretary

KG
28/5/00.

12

31

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G. No. 2385
SEP 26 1900
No Ex 164
DEWAN'S OFFICE

Excise]

S. A. 26 of 99-900

SC 3

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 25th September 1900.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1991

No. with 99 Enclosures No.

In reply to Secretary's docket No. 8443 / Ex 310, dated 29th May 1900

SUBJECT.

Submits copy of correspondence and further information called for in regard to the claim for compensation preferred by the licensee of separate arrack shops Nos 16 and 17 at Masikam, Kolar Gold Fields.

R
26. 9. Excise

References
(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

No: 1991/99 with Encls

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore, dated 25th September 1900

From

The Excise Commissioner
Mysore

To The Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 8443/Ex310, dated 29th May 1900, I have the honor to submit herewith, copy of the marginally noted correspondence, relating to the compensation claimed by the licensee of separate arrack shops Nos. 16 and 17 at Masikam, Kolar Gold Fields

2. Docket No. 730, dated 14th June 1900, from the Plague officer, Bowringpet Taluk, to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, shows that Soraikapet hamlet was demolished under the Mysore Plague Regulations, as it was unfit for human habitation

3. It appears from the enclosures of the Kolar District Deputy Commissioner's dockets Nos 28, dated 5th August 1899 and 64, dated 17th September 1900, that the population of the Soraikapet hamlet was 1500/- and that all of them were labarers and belonged to drinking classes. Information as to the exact number of those who resorted to the aforesaid shops and their average consumption, is not available. But as it is clear

1. Docket No 61/1, dated 4th July 1899, to the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District
2. Docket No 572/11, dated 26th July 1899 to -do-
3. Docket No 28, dated 5th August 1899 from -do- together with its original enclosures.
4. Docket No. 1101/26, dated 7th August 1899 to -do-
5. Docket No. 102, dated 30th October 1899 from -do-
6. Docket No. 3004/92, dated 8th November 1899, to -do-
7. Docket Nos 107, dated 14th November 1899 from -do-
8. Docket No. 3209/93, dated 15th November 1899 to -do-
9. Docket No. 128, dated 21st December 1899 from -do-
10. Docket No. 3956/114, dated 5th January 1900, to -do-
11. Docket No. 15-5, dated 23rd January 1900, from -do-
12. Docket No. 4328/1161, dated 27th January 1900, to -Deputy Excise Commissioner
13. Letter No. 1691, dated 4th March 1900 from Deputy Excise Commissioner
14. Docket No. 292, dated 23rd June 1900, from Deputy Commissioner Kolar District
15. Docket No. 293, dated 23rd June 1900, from -do-
16. Memo No. 85, dated 12th July 1900 with copies of its enclosures.
17. Docket No. 64, dated 17th September 1900, together with its original enclosures

clear from the Excise Supervisor's report No. 436, dated 14th instant, to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, that Masikam village where these shops are situated, was the nearest to the demolished Borakapet hamlet, being only 1 3/4 furlongs, most of the inhabitants of the latter, if not all, must have resorted to the said shops. Their average consumption was about 900 gallons per month.

4. After the demolition of the Borakapet hamlet, about 1000 of its inhabitants are said to have left for British territory and the remainder distributed themselves between the Balaghat, Bisanattam and Marikuppam camps, resorting for their drink to the arrack shops at Chinnajanhalli, Doorapally, Sornakuppam and Coryam.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant

Venkata Langappaya

for Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

From

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District

Dated 4th/5th July 1899

No. 61/1

In forwarding the accompanying petition from Venkatajamiah, licensee of arrack shops Nos 16 and 17 in Nasikan block, Kolar Gold Fields for 1898-99, has the honor to request information on the following points:

(1) When were the huts of coolies burnt or demolished?

(2) How many huts and it contain, and what was the approximate number of coolies who lived in them

(3). To what places did the above coolies go after their huts were destroyed

(4). To what extent did arrack consumption in the petitioners shops suffer after the aforesaid huts were destroyed

(5). Were any gatais or fairs or other traffic interdicted, and if so, to what extent was arrack consumption in petitioners

10

petitioners shops affected?

2. Begs that the accompanying petition may be returned with the Deputy Commissioner's report on the above points

(Sd) S. Venkataranga Iyengar

For Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

From

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District

Dated 26th
27th July 1899

No. 572/11 Exc.

This office No. 61/1, dated 4th/5th July 1899

In continuation of the above has the honor to forward herewith another petition received from the licensee of separate arrack shops Nos. 16 and 17 in the Kolar Gold Fields for 1898-99, and to request to be informed when the houses referred to by him, were destroyed on account of plague

Preventive

preventive measures

(Sd) S. Venkataranga Iyengar

His Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District

To

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

Dated 5th August 1899

No 28

A Enclosure No 2.

His Nos 61 and 572 dated 4th and 26th July 1899 respectively

In returning the records received with the above, has the honor to forward herewith copy of Bowringpet Range Superintendent's report No 30 dated 24th ultimo which furnishes the information called for in the above

(Sd) H. H. Sparkes

Deputy Commissioner

From

The Excise Commissioner

Kolar District

in Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District

Dated

Dated 7th & 8th August 1899

No. 1101/26.

The Deputy Commissioners docket- no. 28, dated 5th instant-

— o —

In reply has the honor to request that a Remission statement on account of the decrease of 665 gallons of arrack in consumption owing to the demolition of Sorakapet huts in consequence of plague may be prepared and submitted.

(Sd) S. Venkataramy a Iyengar
For Excise Commissioner.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District-

To The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 30th October 1899
Despatched 3rd November 1899

No. 102 Excl

Has docket- No. 1101/26 dated 7th August- and subsequent- reminder No. 2543/73 dated 6th instant- calling for the submission of a remission statement in favour of Venkatasikhamany Naidu abkari licensee of separate arrack shops at Mosikam Kolar Gold Fields

— o —

Has the honor to forward herewith a

a remission statement for Rs 8 17 6 - 4

being the amount of loss sustained by
Veerasekhamany Moodaliar on account
of decrease in consumption of 665 gallons
in his shops at Masikam owing to the
demolition of Serakapatee huts in
consequence of plague as requested in
the above

(Sd) H. H. Sparkes
Deputy Commissioner

From

The Excise Commissioner
Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dated 8/10 November 1899

No. 3004/92 Excise

The Deputy Commissioners docket
No 102, dated 3rd instant submitting a
remission statement in favor of Veera
sekamany Moodaliar Arrack shopkeeper,
Masikam

In reply has the honor to return the
draft statement received with the above
and to request that the fair copy of it may
be

22 be forwarded early to this office

(Sd) S. Venkataramya Iyengar

For Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District-

To

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

Dated 14th November 1899

No. 107.

His docket No $\frac{3004}{92}$ dated 8th November 1899

~ o ~

Has the honor to forward herewith the remission statement asked for in the above

(Sd) L. Krishna Rao

For Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District-

Urgent

From

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District-

Dated $\frac{15-16}{11}$ November 1899

No. $\frac{3209}{93}$ The

The Deputy Commissioners docket-
No 107, dated 14th instant

In reply has the honor to state
that the statement received with the
above deals only with the loss sustain
by the licensee of the arrack shops nos
16 and 17 in consequence of the
demolition of certain huts. But
the licensee alleges that he sustained
loss on account of the destruction of
the following huts also

Locality	No of houses destroyed
Gollapally	
Beer shop Line	60
Marikuppam Bazaar	200
Karrigadisay line	500
Pallagudisay	260
Melmasikam	40
Kil masikam	30

Requests to be informed whether the allegation
is true and if so, that a consolidated
revised statement may be submitted

(Sd) S. Venkataramya Iyengar
Ag Excise Commissioner

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District

To

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

Dated 21st / 29th December 1899.

No. 128.

His docket No. 3209/93 dated 15th November 1899 calling for further particulars regarding the permission prayed for by Devasikamany Modali-ar Prasikam Arrack Shop Keeper.

— o —

In reply to the above has the honor to state that it is true that these huts were destroyed or some of them when the villages were evacuated but it incurred no extra loss to the vendors as the inhabitants were located close by and probably consumed the same quantity of arrack. The state-ment already submitted is the best test as to how much the vendors actually

actually lost as it shows the difference between this and last year.

(Sd) b. Krishna Rao

For Deputy Commissioner

From Urgent

The Excise Commissioner

Mysore

To The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District

Dated 5th/6th January 1900.

No. 3956/114

The Deputy Commissioner's docket-

No. 128, dated 21st December 1899

~ o ~

In reply has the honor to request to be informed of the amount of arrears of Khist due by the licensee of arrack shops Nos 16 and 17, Kolar Gold Fields, for the year 1898-99.

(Sd) S. Venkataranjaiengar

For Excise Commissioner in Mysore

From The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District

To The Excise Commissioner

Mysore

Dated

2 Ly

Dated 23rd January 1900.
24th — do

No. 155

His docket No. 3956/114 dated 5th instant requesting to be informed of the amount of arrears of Khist due by the licensee of arrack shops Nos. 16 and 17 in Kolar Gold Fields.

— o —

In reply to the above, has the honor to inform that there is no balance due by the licensee of arrack shops Nos. 16 and 17 in the Kolar Gold Fields for the year 1898-99.

(Sd) H. H. Sparkes
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

From
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
The Deputy Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 27th January 1900.

No. 4328/1161 Enc: 4

Has the honor to state that Devasikamani Moodaliar, licensee of

of separate arrack shops Nos. 16 and 17 at Nasikam Kolar Gold Fields, for the year 1898-99, has applied in the accompanying petitions (to be returned), for compensation on account of loss sustained by him owing to the demolition of Sorakapet hamlet and certain other huts the inhabitants where of used to resort to his shops to drink arrack. The District Deputy Commissioner has sent a statement (herewith enclosed for reference and return), showing that the licensee is entitled only to the refund of Rs 817-6-4 on account of the loss in consequence of the destruction of the Sorakapet hamlet. But the licensee claims compensation also on account of the demolition of other huts referred to in his petition dated 24th July 1899. Requests the Deputy Commissioner's opinion on all the above points, and also information as to when these huts were destroyed and to what places the residents thereof went. It appears from the District

Deputy

Deputy Commissioner's report that Soraka-pet hamlet was destroyed in the latter part of April 1899.

(Sd) S. Venkataranya Iyengar

For Excise Commissioner in Mysore

From

The Deputy Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

Dated 4th/14th March 1900

No. 1691

Sir,

Refe---y to your letter No. 4328/1161 of the 27th January regarding the enclosed petitions from one Benasika-mani Modaliar arrack farmer of Shops Nos 16 and 17 of Nasikam (Gold Fields) for 1898-99 I have the honor to state that I delayed replying until I could again visit the spot which I did to-day to ascertain if possible, the actual dates on which the evacuation of the camps mentioned took place. I found, however it was impossible to do

do this as the evacuation seems to have been prolonged over several days and possibly a week or two in some cases, but that evacuation did take place and was complete is a fact, the camps being burnt and levelled with the ground. The movement apparently commenced at the end of April or beginning of May and continued till well on in July. At present there are only some 3 or 4 huts in Maritkoppam and perhaps 30 or 40 in higher Masikan. The falling off in the sales evidently coincides with the dates of the evacuation of the lines and was doubtless due to that, and it seems only just that some recognition of the fact should be allowed to the petitioner who has suffered considerable loss. He might certainly have expected at least as good sales as in the previous year and in ordinary circumstances he would have had them and perhaps something better. The permission suggested by the Kolar District Deputy Commissioner cannot be considered excessive. The people from the evacuated lines are scattered throughout

throughout the various camps of the different mines on the Gold Fields.

Enclosed is a written statement written and signed by the petitioner himself this morning at Nasikam with reference to the dates of evacuation

I have &c

(Sd) W. Vincombe Wary

Deputy Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District

To

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

Dated 23rd / 28th June 1900

No. 292

Excise

Enclosure No. 1

His official memo No. 6480/223 dated 30th May 1900, forwarding copy of secretary's docket No. 8443/Ex 310 dated 29th May 1900 calling for information regarding the population &c of Sorakapet hamlet.

— o —

In reply to the above has the honor

honor to forward herewith a copy of the docket No. 730 dated 14th/15th June 1900 from the Plague officer Bowringpet from which it will be seen that the hamlet in question was demolished under the Mysore Plague regulations and the people therein resorted to the upper Nasikam arrack shop when the Sorakapet lines were demolished

Particulars as regards the points of 1 and 2 referred to in the above docket not having been furnished, the matter has been referred to the Supervisor which will be supplied on receipt of the same from him

(Sd) b. Krishna Rao

Hon Deputy Commissioner

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District

To

The Chief Commissioner

in Mysore

Dated 23rd/29th June 1900

No. 293 Cone 2

His docket No. 5395 dated 2nd April 1900
3rd April 1900 Question

requesting to be informed of the dates on which certain hamlets and huts were destroyed.

— o —

In reply to the above, has the honor to forward herewith copy of docket No. 732 dated 14th/15th June 1900, together with a copy of its enclosure. The precise dates on which the hamlets were destroyed have not been furnished in the enclosed statement.

The Plague officer, Bowringpet has again been addressed to, on the subject, and the same will be informed on receipt of his reply.

(Sd) L. Krishna Rao

For Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District.

From

The Plague Officer

Bowringpet Taluk

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District.

Dated 30th June 1900

No. 756

Sir

Statement showing the number of houses or huts demolished in the villages or Blocks named in column 2 in Kolar Gold Fields in 1899

1	Names of villages or Blocks 2	April 1899		May 1899		June 1899		Remarks 9
		No of houses demolished as unfit for human habitation 3	No of houses in which the congested parts were opened out 4	No of houses demolished as unfit for human habitation 5	No of houses in which the congested parts were opened out 6	No of houses demolished as unfit for human habitation 7	No of houses in which the congested parts were opened out 8	
1	Gollapalli	25	51	10	00	12	29	
2	Beer shop line or Stevens camp	31	20	11	11	28	16	
3	Marikuppam Bazaars	4	32	31	32	35	41	
4	Mel Masikam		11	6	3	46		
5	Keel Masikam		2			24		
6	Sorakapet lines	287					287	
7	*Karai Gudisay							*: cannot be identified
8	Palla Gudisay							

Plague office
14th June 1900

"Copy" W

"Copy"

"Copy"

(Sd) S. Ranganna
Plague Officer Bowring

S. Venkatarangachariyar
For Excise Commissioner in Mysore

(Sd) B. H. Venkatarangachariyar
Deputy Commissioner

Sir

With reference to your no 291 A. dated 23rd June 1900, I have the honor to inform that the exact dates on which the houses were demolished cannot be found in this office except the months during which they were demolished. The statement returned with the above is herein enclosed for favor of disposal.

I have &c

(Sd) S. Ranganna
Plague Officer Bowringpet
Taluk.

No. 85

Has the honor to forward the copy of the above to the Excise Commissioner in Mysore in continuation of this office docket no 293 dated 23rd June 1900.

Dated 12th July 1900. (Sd) L. Krishna Rao
For Depty Commissioner
Kolar District.

From
The Depty Commissioner
Kolar District

To
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 17th September 1900
No. 64

B

Enclosure No. 2

His docket no. 1169/38 dated the 14th/16th August 1900.

~ o ~

Has the honor to forward [illegible] with the Bowringpet

Channapatna Range Supervisor's report
No. 436 dated 14th September 1900 contain-
-ing the informations required in para
3 of the Excise Commissioner's letter under
reference. His Canarese report No. 217 -
dated 1st August 1900 was sent with this
Office No. 40 dated 21st August 1900

The sketch of shops Nos 16 and 17 received
therewith is returned.

(Sd) M. Krishniengar
For Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

[illegible]
For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

54

1899

FROM The Deputy Superintendent
Bowringpitt Range

To The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dated 24th July 1899.

Despatched

Received

General No. 30

No.

Enclosure No. one file of papers

Enclosure to docket
marked 'A'

In returning herewith the whole correspondence regarding the permission question of Devarikana Amodikan received with your order No: 30 dated 11th July 1899, I beg to say

33

that the Sorkapatty huts were demolished between 25th and 30th April 1899. It contained 347 huts. The coolies living in them were about 1500. On account of Plague, some of them went away to their native places and some got themselves employed in different Camps. The issues to these shops from the depot in the months of May and June 1898 was 1843 gallons and for the same two months of the year 1899 was 1178 gallons showing a decrease of gallons 665. There were no jatras and fairs attached to these shops.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

(Sgnd) M. Rajendrum
Excise Superintendent
Bowringpet Range

A Copy

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

From



36

The Excise Commissioner
In Mysore
Bangalore

Respected Sir,

I respectfully beg to be excused for the intrusion I am at your valuable time in reminding you of my letter dated 5th Inst and to request that you will be good enough to see your way to give me the needful after satisfying your-self of the result turned out from the enquiries made by you in connection with my case.

I am positive in my assertion that the loss reported to you to have been sustained by me during the preceding year was purely and eventually due to the destruction of innumerable hounds in the villages situated around my shops.

The following are the villages
situated around my shops and the
no of houses destroyed and the No
people deserted were 1433 and
5 respectively as
the subjoi

(C)

From

The Excise Supervisor
Bowringpet Range

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar district

No: 436 dated 14/9/00.
Enc: one

Sir,

As directed in your Order no 451 dated 21/8/00, I beg to report that (1) the population of the Sorkaypet hamlet was 1,500.

(2) All belonged to the drinking classes, but informs as to the number who resorte to the Maikam shops and their average consumption is not availble.

(3) About 1000 of those people are said to have left for British Territory and the reminder distributed them-selves between the Balaghat, Bisanatham and marikuppam Camps. The latter are said to have resorted to the shops

Recd. 15-9-1900

Reply to the Excise Comrs. reference

Dy

shops at Chimegunhally — 'Sornakuppam a

Corigam after the demolition — hamlet in ques

(4) The distances between the Site of the

late Sorkaypetti hamlet and the following shops

Masikam ——— 1 3/4 furlongs

Sornakuppam ——— 1 1/2 miles

Soorapally ——— 1 mile

Chimegunhally ——— 2 miles

Corigam ——— 1 3/4 miles.

2. I beg to return the Sketch, receive

with the order under reference.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient serv

J. B. Darin 14/1-
Excise Superin
Bowringpet

Two Rs stamp affixed & Sent

Transferred to the Excise Branch

27.9.00

S.R. 26 of 99-900

S.C.L.

REVENUE DEPART. Gl. No. 245 EP. 28 1900 DEWAN'S OFFICE

No. 366

Name of Petitioner C. Narasayan Chowdia

Village } Licensee of arrac shops No 16 & 17

Taluk } Gole palli, Mardi

District } pam Post. Kolar Dt

Date of Arzi 20-9-00.

Date of Receipt 22-9-00

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 2.

ABSTRACT

States that he was the licensee of arrac shops No 16 & 17 in the Gold Fields in 98-99 & were auctioned for the sum of 25735 Rs. The closure of play in the Gold Fields & the signal for the desertion of the villages near the shops above mentioned by the people who this chief customers & the result being his incon

Bench &c. the sum of Rs 14804, 5, 8
He has petitioned the Excise Commr
on the subject ~~but~~ in reference
to Endorsement No 8565 dated 23-5-99
& 327
but was not favoured with
any reply. Prays for
Compensation.

26/9/00

Enc: 2 statements

S.R. 26 of 99-900 Draft for approval 4/9

Sec 5

2948
No 8x 7 10-98. 9

9.10.900

Enc: 3.

Official Memorandum

Petition Regd no 566 dated 20th September 1900 from Devasikhamani Mood. licensee of arrack shop nos 16 & 17, Kolar Gold Fields, praying for compensation of Rs 14,804-5-8 on account of the loss incurred ~~by~~ alleged to have been incurred by him by the ~~demol~~ evacuation of certain huts during the plague season in 1898-99, is forwarded to the Excise Comm for such further remarks as he may wish to make ~~on the~~ ~~subject~~ ~~matter~~ in continuation of his letter no 1991/99 dt 25th September 1900 on the question of ~~compen~~ claim for compensation preferred by the said licensee

"B. O."
1-10-900

Secretary

KG 2dflo
29/9/00.

Rejd
23.11.00
566 of 900

The Previous Petn 566 of 00
was transferred to the
Excise Branch on 27.9.00
(B) 8/8
26/11

Transferred in continuation
27.11.00 hrs.

Ex in
28/11

Subd
The previous references are before
the 2nd Councillor, having been submitted
to him on 30/10/00.

KG
30/11/00

Subd. to 2nd Councr

RP 7 Rm.
5/12/00
766

Please see the 2nd Councillor's
order on letter No 2716/142 dt
13.11.00 from Ex Comr

C&H
28/12/00

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G. No. 3685 1900
NOV 28
NO. OFFICE

Ex 172 45

36

Name of Petitioner C. Devadasa Mopl

Village
Taluk
District } Licensee No 16 & 17 Arrack shops Gollapalli Narikuppam

Date of Arzi 17-11-00

Date of Receipt 22-11-00

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

ABSTRACT

States that the Excise Commr has informed him by Endt. that the papers in re the loss sustained by him in arrack shops Nos 16 & 17 during 98 & 99 & the remission claimed thereon are with Govt awaiting decision. Prays that his claim may be soon disposed of as the same has been a long time pending.

Rejd 23/11/00

46/039

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No 1039/A
DATE 22-11-1900

Copy sent registered 22.11.00 mak

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore
Bangalore.

Respected Sir, My petition dt. 20-9-00 to you.

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore having in his endorsement No. 2036/38 dt. 25th September last informed me that my papers in connection with the remission of the loss sustained by me in Arrack shops Nos 16 and 17 during the year 1898/99 were sent for the decision of Government, I respectfully beg to request that my claim may be disposed of favourably at your Honor's earliest convenience considering the date from which my case is pending disposal.

I beg to remain
Respected Sir
Your most obedt Servt
P. Basavaiah
Licensee of No 16 & 17 shops

Gollapalle
17th November
1900

S.R. 26 of 99-900. Draft for Circular. 4/1

SL 6

To

The Excise Commr
Mysore

2949 99.10.00
No Ex 7 10-90.
Dated September 1900

His letter no 1994/99 dated the 25th instant furnishing certain additional information together with copies of correspondence on the subject of compensation claimed by the licensee of separate arrack shops nos 16 & 17 at Masikam, Kolar gold fields.

With reference to the above has the honor to ~~request~~ state that the docket no 730 dated 14th June 1900 from the Plague Officer, Bowringpet Taluk, to the Deputy Commr Kolar Distt referred to in para 2 of the letter under reference has not been received along with other enclosures & to request that the same may be kindly forwarded at an early date.

"B.O."
4/10/00

for Secretary.

2 dfts
KL 27/9/00.

S. R. 26 of 99

8 C 7

Excise]

48

34

From The Excise Comm in Mys

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MYSORE

General & Revenue Depts

Dated 10th October /

Despatched

Received

General No. 2220

No. 107

Enclosure No. (1)

In reply to Secretary's docket No. 2949 dated 9th instan Ex. S. 1098 SUBJECT.

Forwards copy of communication from the Plague officer Bowringpett taluk to the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District.

2

12 " 0 "

13 " 10 " 00

References
(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

No 2220/107

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore, dated 10th October 1900.

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysor
General & Revenue departm

Sir,

In reply to your docket
No. 2949, dated 9th instant, (Ex. & R. 10-98)
have the honor to forward
herewith copy of docket No. 730,
dated 14th June 1900, from the
Plague Officer, Bowringpett
taluk to the Deputy Commissio
Kolar district.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Illegible
For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

1899-1900.

From,

The Plague officer,
Bowringpet Taluq.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

Dated 14-6-1900.

No 730.

With reference to his no 1594, date 5th June 1900, has the honour to inform that the people who were living in Sorakapet lines are said to have been accomo-dated in Champion Reefs mine and that they resort likely to the upper-Masikam arrack shop which is in the vicinity.

The Sorakapet lines were demolished under the mysore Plague Regulations being unfit for human habitation

(Signed) S. Ranganna.
Plague officer,
Bowringpet Taluk

Copy

Copy.

(Signed). C. Krishna Row.

Deputy Commissioner,

Kolar District.

23-6-1900.

"Copy"

[illegible]

for Excise Commissioner

[illegible] in Mysore.

S.R. 26/99

Subd. 4 the 2nd Secs. in certain cases. KR 20/11/

Rd 6.30 Pm.
20-11-00
673

Disallow the Claim.

20/11/00

Excise Dept. Secd KR 21/11

5 2

Excise 36

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Regd. No. 3455
NOV. 7 1900
Ex. 60
OFFICE

189

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Depts

Dated 13th November 1900.

Despatched 16 —— do

Received

General No. 2716

No. 142 Enclosure No. 6

In reply to the Secy's official no 2949, dated the 9th ultimo, Ex.F.10-98. SUBJECT:

Submits with his own views copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District, in regard to the compensation of Rs 14,804-5-8 claimed by the licensee of shops Nos. 16 and 17, Kolar Gold Fields.

R 17.11.00

References
(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Subd,

The previous reference is before the 2nd Councillor having been submitted to him on 30th October 1900

KR 20/11/00.

No. 2716/142 Encl 6

Excise Commissioner's office,
Bangalore, dated 13th November 1900.

From

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Department

Sir,

With reference to your official memo No 2949 Ex:F.10-98, dated the 9th ultimo forwarding for such further remarks as I may wish to make, the petition dated 20th September 1900 from — Devasiki Lamany Moodaliar, licensee of arrack shops Nos: 16 and 17, Kolar Gold Fields praying for a compensation of Rs 14,804-5-8 on account of the loss alleged to have sustained by him in consequence of plague preventive measures, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of docket No 109, dated 7th November 1900, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar district, and to state that I concur in the opinion therein

therein expressed.

2. The deposition written and signed by the petitioner himself and give to the deputy Excise Commissioner on the 4th March 1900, is also herewith enclosed. In it he has admitted that the evacuation of huts by Coolies did not take place before May 1899. It is clear from the Plague Officer's report, a copy of which has already been submitted, and also from the deputy Commissioner's report that the demolition of houses did not commence before the latter part of april 1899. The statement which I have submitted with my letter No $\frac{6238}{397}$, dated the 19th May 1900, shows that in April 1899 there was only a slight fall in arrack consumption in shop No 16 and there was a large increase in shop No 17; and that there was an appreciable fall in both the shops in May and June 1899, in consequence of the demolition of Siruvakapati hamlet and other huts. Accordingly the compensation

Compensation of Rs 817-6-4 already recommended on account of the decline in arrack consumption in May and June 1899 owing to the demolition of Sora Kapalli hamlet and other huts, has been rightly calculated; and I am of opinion that the petitioner is not entitled to any more concession.

3. It will be seen from the accompanying statement that the petitioner like other purchasers of the arrack shops in the Kolar Gold Fields, bought his shops at the auction sales, at a considerable loss to himself, evidently for speculation. This is the main cause of the very large loss shown by the petitioner to have accrued to him, and not plague preventive measures as alleged by the petitioner.

4. The enclosures of the official memo under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant.

For Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

1900 56

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 7th November 1900

Despatched 10th " " -40-

Received

General No. 109.
No.

Enclosure No. 3

Excise Commissioner's Official Memorandum and docket Nos. 2222/85 and 2445/101 dated the 10th and 26th October 1900 respectively, requesting for an expression of opinion in re the Compensation of Rs 16,804-5-8 prayed for by Devasikhamani Moodliar on account of his arrack shops Nos. 16 and 17 Kolar Gold Fields.

In reply has the honor to state that this question has been already settled by

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—21-9-98.—49,302.

by Mr. H. N. Sparkes, Thes then Deputy Commissioner, who after going through the papers connected with the Case estimated the loss at Rs 817-6-4 on 665 gallons at Rs 1-3-8 a piece and sent up a remission statement for that amount with his No 107 dated 14th November 1899 for orders. The undersigned is not in possession of such other statistics as would justify a deviation from the estimate already formed.

2. The enclosures received with the docket No $\frac{2445}{101}$ dated 26th October 1900 are herewith returned.

(Signed) M. Krishnaiengar
For Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

[illegible]
For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Statement showing the loss at which Devasikamangoodalia purchased Nasikam Arrack shops for the year 1898-99.

Name of Shop	Consumption of arrack during 1897-98. Gls	Amount expected to be realized at Rs 1-3-8 per gallon in 1898-99 Rs	As	P	Deduct: Amount of rent for which purchased by the Petitioner for 1898-99		Other expenses at 2 annas per gallon		Total Expenditure		Loss at which purchased at the auction sale.			Remarks
Nasikam No I	6774	8326	6	0	12350	0	846	12	13196	12	4870	6	0	
do — No II	4892	6013	1	4	12785	0	611	8	13396	8	7383	6	8	
Total	11,666	14339	7	4	25135	0	1458	4	26593	4	12253	12	8	

Excise Commissioner's Office,
Bangalore, 14th November 1900.

For Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.



566

Petition

29/9/00

Two Rs stamp affixed—MM

To

The Secretary to the

Dewan of Mysore

Bangalore

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 566
DATE 22-9-1900

Respected Sir,

I respectfully beg to bring prominently the following lines to your kind consideration with the view of obtaining redress at your generous hands.

I was the licensee of arrack shops No 16 and 17, Gold Fields, during the year 1898-99. Before the invasion of plague into the Gold Fields, the above shops were put up in auction and I was forced to take them up for an exceedingly large sum viz Rupees 25,135 on the full belief that the sale of arrack in

in these shops would not on any account be interfered with but to my great disappointment the Gold Field was subsequently declared to be plague infected area and thereupon almost every one in the villages situated around my shops have left their homes for different places entirely closing their houses, and all the huts in the Sorakapatti line were burnt down and the owners driven off by the plague authorities and a great reduction of sale of arrack in my shops was therefore principally due to the prevalence of plague on the Gold field and to the rigorous rulings of the Government in connection with the evacuation and segregation of people of the villages on which my shops were solely dependent. A sketch showing the site of villages which stood around my shops is herein enclosed

for

for your kind inspection.

In obedience to your kind endorsement No $\frac{8565}{\text{Ex}327}$ dt 23 d/c May 1899, I have submitted my petition to the Excise Commissioner in Mysore with a statement showing the amount of the loss I have sustained and it is now more than a year since my claim is remaining undisposed of. I shall therefore esteem it a great favor if you will kindly see your way to settle my claim at your early convenience, as you will find from the enclosed statement that I have sustained a heavy loss of Rs 14,804 a 5 a 8.

I beg to remain
Respected Sir
Your most obedt Servant
P. G. Chisholm
Licensee of No 16 & 17
arrack shops Gollapalli
Guntur Road

Gollapalli
20th September 1900

C. Dairasgaiviny Chetty

Statement showing the Amount realize
block, Bowringpet Range) for the official

Shop No.	Receipts															
	No of Gallons received from Depot for 12 Months												Total No of Gallons received	Rate allowed per Gallon by Govt.		Total Amount realized
	1898 July	August	September	October	November	December	1899 January	February	March	April	May	June				
16	397	303	357	355	457	513	401	417	505	565	312	207	4789	1	3 8	588
17	347	415	305	361	358	454	406	417	461	514	301	357	4696	1	3 8	577
Total	744	718	662	716	815	967	807	834	966	1079	613	564	9485	—		1165

⊙ The amount expended by me for 12 Mo

× The amount realized by the Sale of a

⊗ The amount of loss sustained by

Gollapalle
20th Septr 1900

by the Regarrack in the shop during the
year 18[illegible]-99

Total amount of Liquor received	Total amount of Liquor sold	Total amount of Expenditure	No. of Vendors	Rate of Salary	Total Amount of Salaries for 12 Months	Rent per Month	Rent for 12 Months	Cartage Total No. of Casks	Cartage Amount @ 2/0 per cask	Total Amount of Expenditure	Difference or Loss sustained by the Licensee	Remarks
7 8	1235 " "	2	10/	240 " "	10/	120 " "	92	184	" " " "	712	784	
2 8	1278 " "	2	10/	240 " "	10/	120 " "	92	184	" " " "	767	634	
⊙										⊗		
10 4	2513 " "	4	—	480 " "	—	240 " "	184	368	" " " "	1480	458	

Amtho including Rist paid is — 13 " 0 " 0
Rack for 12 Months at the rate allowed 8 " 10 " 4
me for 12 Months — 4 " 5 " 8.

J. Gilwood
Licensee of
arrack Rapalli
Vavuniya

S.R. 260/99

SLG

I do not think that this will answer We have to obtain orders on the question of allowing a compensation scheme of Rs 817-6-4 as recommended by the Board of Revenue. Please put up a short note.

Submitted 8/11

Draft as per order 27

Progs. of the Govt: of Mysore 65

Read again

Letter No: 6238/397 dated the 19th May 1900 from the Excise Commr in Mysore recommending a compensation of Rs 817-6-4 in favour of Deva Sikamany Mudliar, licensee of Separate arrack shops Nos 16 & 17 at Masikam, Kolar Gold Fields for 1898-99, on the ground that the licensee suffered loss owing to the demolition - on account of plague - of the Sorakapalli hamlet, the inhabitants of which used to resort to the licensee's shops for their drink.

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No: 2716/142 dt 13th November 1900 from the same officer, stating, with reference to the ~~compensation of Rs 14,801-5-8~~ above licensee's claim for a compensation of Rs 14,801-5-8, that the individual is not entitled to any more concession than what has already been recommended in

his favour in Excise Comm's letter of 19th May 1900, as the very large loss, shown by the licensee as having accrued to him, is due to his having bought the shops at the auction sales at a considerable loss to himself and not to plague preventive measures, as alleged by him

Order thereon No: S 311-12 / Ex 256 & 12th Jan 1901.

17

Govt are of opinion that the licensee's claim for compensation is inadmissible - They accordingly direct that it be disallowed except to the extent recommended by the Dy Comr & Excise Comr, viz Rs 817-6-4 which is the only remission that the licensee could reasonably pray for under the circumstances of the case and which Govt are pleased to sanction

For Offg. Secretary

To
The Excise Comm. in Mysore
" Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

Dyk.
28/12/00

C.
15/1/01
Secretary.

S.R. 26 of 99.

SL 10.

Rev. (Excise)
22-1-01

Rd 28/1/01.

From 38 1901. 49-90 67
Ex 220

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
The Secretary to Govt of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts

Dated 18th
Despatched 21 } January 1901.
Received

General No. 3884
No. 191

Enclosure No.

In reply to Government Proceedings
No. 5311-12 / Ex 256, dated 14th January 1901

Subject

Solicits that the Compensation
bills submitted with this office
letter No. 6238 / 397, dated 19th May
1900 may be forwarded duly
Sanctioned, to the Comptroller
for audit.

No 3884/197

Excise Commissioners Office,
Bangalore, dated 18th January 1901.

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of —
Government Proceedings No S 311-12/Ex 256, —
dated the 14th instant, I have the honor to
solicit that the compensation bill submitted
with this office letter No 6238/397, dated 19th Mar
1900, may be forwarded, duly sanctioned,
to the Comptroller for audit.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

S.R. 26 of 99.
SC 11

Draft for ack: 69

To ~~or o~~ 40

The Excise Commrs
in myore

No 5699 / Ex 273 & 4th Feby 1901 Enclosure

Dated 1901.

His letter no 3884/197 &
18th/21 January 1901, ~~acknowledged~~ acknowledging the receipt
~~of~~ Govt. Government Prop. no 5311-12/Ex 256
dated 17th January 1901 and
soliciting that the compensation
bill forwarded with his letter
no 6238/397 of the 19th May 1900 may
be duly sanctioned & forwarded
to the Comptroller for audit.

For further correspondence
on this subject
please see file
158-05.

With reference to the
above has the honor to return
herewith the compensation
statement referred to and to
request that the same may
be returned with the
Treasury Officer's certificate
in proof of the amount

having been duly credited in the Solan District Treasury.

"B"

31/1/01

For Secretary

kg
30/1/01.

Refers.

S.R. 26 of 99-900, SR 124 65 71

1039 of 900 [illegible]

[illegible] 27-11-0000 [illegible]

Transferred to Excise Branch in Cate.

21/8/01

Recd. 24/8/01.

Subd to 2d Asst in their order no 5311-12 & 256 of 17th January 1901 (put up) have disallowed the claim except to the extent of Rs 817-6-4. A draft endorsement is put up for approval & orders. The Petr does not seem to know that it was the order of Govt that was comd to him by the Excise Comr. vide no 1d/ 24/8/01 Rec.

A draft endt is subd

26/8/01

Recd 26.VIII.01.

Subd

27/8/01

25-8-01

24-8-01 1901

No. 538

Name of Petitioner C. Devasikhamani Mudaliyar

Village License holders of Rs 16-17 arrack & toddy shops

Taluk

District

Date of Arzi 15/8/1901

Date of Receipt 16/8/1901

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 2 Statements

ABSTRACT

With reference to this Office Endorsement No 8565 & 327 dated 23rd May 1899, states that he applied to the Excise Comr for the remission of Rs 1480, that in reply he got an endorsement No 6904 & 180 dated 11th Apl 1901, sanctioning the payment of Rs 817-6-4, and prays for early sanction of the full amount

HB

17/8/01

MGCPB—23-3-01—3,000.

COURT FEE
Two Rupees Stamp affixed
MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
READ No. 5380
DATE 16-8-1907

Dy Post Registered 16/8/07 No 538

The Secretary to
Dewan of Mysore &c.
Bangalore.

Most respected Sir,

Your honors endorsement No 8565 dt 8x327 dt 23d May 1899.

Sl no 14 on file.

Having applied to the Excise Commissioner (Mysore) for the remission of Rs 14,804 a 5 a 8 being the amount of loss sustained by me in arrack shop No 16 and 17 Maskan block during 1898-99 owing to the demolition of a great many houses in the nearest villages situated around the above shops and to the entire destruction of Sorakapatte line, on which my shops were solely dependent

dependent, on account of plague restrictions, I have received Judgement No 6904 dt 11th April 1901 (130) from Sanctioning the payment of only Rs 3174 6 as in my favour on account of the loss sustained by me owing to the demolition of Sorabkhan Lane while the loss actually incurred by me is 14,804 5 8 as per the Statement herewith attached.

Under the circumstances I most respectfully beg to appeal to your honor against the decision of the Deputy Commissioner with a sanguine hope that your honor will be pleased to consider over the matter deeply and to render me justice by according your honors Sanction for the payment of the full amount (viz 14804-5-8) of the loss I have actually sustained on account of the Segregation of people and the evacuation of Several villages around my shops.

A

A Sketch showing the Site of my shops and the Surrounding villages that were demolished is herewith Submitted for your honors Kind inspection.

It may not be out of place to add here that the Amount which the Excise Commissioner has Sanctioned in my favor is not even 1/18 part of the Sum I have actually lost and therefore I beg with great modesty & to request that your honor will Kindly condescend to order the payment of the Amount I now claim for and thus redress my grievances. For which I shall ever remember to pray for your honors long life and prosperity.

I beg to remain
Most respectfully Sir
Your Most obedt Servant

15th August 1901

G. C. Bewgal late Arrack Renter (Sgd)
License holder of No 16 & 17
Arrack Shops Trincomalee
block.

shops Nos 16 and 17 attached to Mavikaw block of the [illegible]

ending 30th June 1899.

Total Amount realized			Amount of Kisth paid per 12 months at 1029-2-8 and 1065-5-8 per months respectively	Expenditure														Difference or loss sustained by the shop-keeper per 12 Months		
				No. of Vendors	Rate of Payment for each		Total Amount of Salaries paid per 12 months		Rent				Cartage				Total Amount of expenditure			
									per Month		Amount of rent paid per 12 months		Total No. of the Carts		Amount paid at 2 As/- to each		Rights & Cesses			
					Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Carts		Rs	as	Rs	as			
5886	7	8	12350	"	"	2	10	"	240	"	10	"	120	"	92	184	"	120	"	13014	"	"	7127	8	4
5772	2	8	12785	"	"	2	10	"	240	"	10	"	120	"	92	184	"	120	"	13449	"	"	7676	13	4
11658	10	4	25135	"	"	4			480	"			240	"	184	368	"	240	"	26463	"	"	14804	5	8

[illegible]

Statement showing the amount of [illegible] by the sale of [illegible] in the
Bangalore Salugue Salar District during the [illegible]

Shop's No.	Receipts													
	No. of Gallons received from the depot												Total No. of Gallons received from the depot	Rate Gallons per [illegible]
	1898 August	September	October	November	December	1899 January	February	March	April	May	June			
16	397	303	357	265	457	516	401	417	506	615	812	207	4789	1
17	547	415	305	361	358	451	406	417	461	514	301	357	4376	1
=	944	718	662	716	815	967	807	834	966	1079	613	564	9485	—



About 1 Furlong Distance

Upper Masikam

About ½ Jar Distance

Lower Masikam

Gollapalli

Remarks.

1. In Upper Masikam 40 houses destroyed 120 people took flight
2. In Lower Masikam 30 houses destroyed 200 people took flight
3. In Sorakapulli line containing 300 houses population of 1515 were destroyed and people took flight
4. Pullagudisay line containing 260 houses were destroyed and its population amounting to 1000 people took flight.
5. Karigudisay line containing 500 houses were destroyed and its population amounting to 1500 people took flight
6. Morkuppam Bazaar containing 200 shops and houses were destroyed and all the merchants amounting 1000 people have gone to their respective towns and villages.
7. In Beershop line 60 houses were destroyed and 360 people have gone to their native place.
8. In Gollapalli village 40 houses were destroyed and 300 people run away to their place.

Plague was commenced on the 15th September 1898 and happened two deaths in Gollapalli and destroyed one house

40 nos

S.R. 26799.

SL 13.

3519

Ex. F. 10. 98 Dt 27/8/1901.

Endorsement

75 26/6

47

In reply to his petition dated 15th August 1901 complaining that the Excise Commr has sanctioned only Rs 817-6-4 instead of Rs 14,804-5-8 ~~as alleged to have~~ the loss alleged to have been incurred by him owing to the demolition of Sorakpatta hamlet during the prevalence of plague in 1898-99, and praying that the full amount of Rs 14804-5-8 may be ordered to be sanctioned, & Devasikhamani mudr, ~~&~~ license holder of arrack shops no 16 & 17 for 1898-99, Kolar Gold Fields, is informed that on a perusal of the entire records connected with the case, Government directed ~~that the claim for compensation is inadmissible~~ disallowed the claim except to the extent recommended by the Deputy Comm

27/8/01

and the Excise Commrs reg
Rs 817-6-4 which was
the only remission that the
petitioner could reasonably
pray for under the circum-
stances of the case and
that ~~even~~ they therefore
decline to see no grounds
for reopening the case.

"B. O"

Secretary

3526
Ex. F. 10. 98 dt. 27/8/1907.

Copy to Excise Commrs
for information.

B O

Secretary

KG
24/8/07.

Subd to 2d Cr for appl

28/8/07